arXiv:1510.05096v1 [math.GR] 17 Oct 2015

PSEUDOCOMPACTNESS, PRODUCTS AND TOPOLOGICAL BRANDT λ^0-EXTENSIONS OF SEMITOPOLOGICAL MONOIDS

OLEG GUTIK AND OLEKSANDR RAVSKY

Abstract. In the paper we study the preservation of pseudocompactness (resp., countable compactness, sequential compactness, ω-boundedness, totally countable compactness, countable pracompactness, sequential pseudocompactness) by Tychonoff products of pseudocompact (and countably compact) topological Brandt λ_i^0-extensions of semitopological monoids with zero. In particular we show that if $\left\{\left(B^0_{\lambda_i}(S_i), \tau^0_{B(S_i)}\right)\colon i \in \mathscr{I}\right\}$ is a family of Hausdorff pseudocompact topological Brandt λ_i^0-extensions of pseudocompact semitopological monoids with zero such that the Tychonoff product $\prod\{S_i\colon i \in \mathscr{I}\}$ is a pseudocompact space then the direct product $\prod\left\{\left(B^0_{\lambda_i}(S_i), \tau^0_{B(S_i)}\right)\colon i \in \mathscr{I}\right\}$ endowed with the Tychonoff topology is a Hausdorff pseudocompact semitopological semigroup.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Further we shall follow the terminology of [5, 7, 11, 25, 29]. By $\mathbb{N}$ we shall denote the set of all positive integers.

A semigroup is a non-empty set with a binary associative operation. A semigroup S is called *inverse* if for any $x \in S$ there exists a unique $y \in S$ such that $x \cdot y \cdot x = x$ and $y \cdot x \cdot y = y$. Such the element y in S is called *inverse* to x and is denoted by x^{-1}. The map assigning to each element x of an inverse semigroup S its inverse x^{-1} is called the *inversion*.

For a semigroup S by $E(S)$ we denote the subset of idempotents of S, and by S^1 (resp., S^0) we denote the semigroup S the adjoined unit (resp., zero) (see [7, Section 1.1]). Also if a semigroup S has zero 0_S, then for any $A \subseteq S$ we denote $A^* = A \setminus \{0_S\}$.

For a semilattice E the semilattice operation on E determines the partial order $\leqslant$ on E:

$$e \leqslant f \quad \text{if and only if} \quad ef = fe = e.$$

This order is called *natural*. An element e of a partially ordered set X is called *minimal* if $f \leqslant e$ implies $f = e$ for $f \in X$. An idempotent e of a semigroup S without zero (with zero) is called *primitive* if e is a minimal element in $E(S)$ (in $(E(S))^*$).

Let S be a semigroup with zero and $\lambda \geqslant 1$ be a cardinal. On the set $B_\lambda(S) = (\lambda \times S \times \lambda) \sqcup \{0\}$ we define a semigroup operation as follows

$$(\alpha, a, \beta) \cdot (\gamma, b, \delta) = \begin{cases} (\alpha, ab, \delta), & \text{if } \beta = \gamma; \\ 0, & \text{if } \beta \neq \gamma, \end{cases}$$

and $(\alpha, a, \beta) \cdot 0 = 0 \cdot (\alpha, a, \beta) = 0 \cdot 0 = 0$, for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \lambda$ and $a, b \in S$. If S is a monoid, then the semigroup $B_\lambda(S)$ is called the *Brandt λ-extension of the semigroup S* [14]. Obviously, $\mathcal{J} = \{0\} \cup \{(\alpha, \mathscr{O}, \beta)\colon \mathscr{O} \text{ is the zero of } S\}$ is an ideal of $B_\lambda(S)$. We put $B^0_\lambda(S) = B_\lambda(S)/\mathcal{J}$ and we shall call $B^0_\lambda(S)$ the *Brandt λ^0-extension of the semigroup S with zero* [15]. Further, if $A \subseteq S$ then we shall denote $A_{\alpha,\beta} = \{(\alpha, s, \beta)\colon s \in A\}$ if A does not contain zero, and $A_{\alpha,\beta} = \{(\alpha, s, \beta)\colon s \in A \setminus \{0\}\} \cup \{0\}$ if $0 \in A$, for $\alpha, \beta \in \lambda$. If $\mathcal{I}$ is a trivial semigroup (i.e., $\mathcal{I}$ contains only one element), then by $\mathcal{I}^0$ we denote the semigroup $\mathcal{I}$ with the adjoined zero. Obviously, for any $\lambda \geqslant 2$ the Brandt λ^0-extension of

Date: October 20, 2015.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 22A15, 54H10.

Key words and phrases. Semigroup, Brandt λ^0-extension, semitopological semigroup, topological Brandt λ^0-extension, pseudocompact space, countably compact space, countably pracompact space, sequentially compact space, ω-bounded space, totally countably compact space, countable pracompact space, sequential pseudocompact space.

the semigroup $\mathcal{I}^0$ is isomorphic to the semigroup of $\lambda \times \lambda$-matrix units and any Brandt λ^0-extension of a semigroup with zero contains the semigroup of $\lambda \times \lambda$-matrix units. Further by B_λ we shall denote the semigroup of $\lambda \times \lambda$-matrix units and by $B^0_\lambda(1)$ the subsemigroup of $\lambda \times \lambda$-matrix units of the Brandt λ^0-extension of a monoid S with zero.

A semigroup S with zero is called 0-*simple* if $\{0\}$ and S are its only ideals and $S^2 \neq \{0\}$, and *completely* 0-*simple* if it is 0-simple and has a primitive idempotent [7]. A completely 0-simple inverse semigroup is called a *Brandt semigroup* [25]. By Theorem II.3.5 [25], a semigroup S is a Brandt semigroup if and only if S is isomorphic to a Brandt λ-extension $B_\lambda(G)$ of a group G.

A non-trivial inverse semigroup is called a *primitive inverse semigroup* if all its non-zero idempotents are primitive [25]. A semigroup S is a primitive inverse semigroup if and only if S is an orthogonal sum of Brandt semigroups [25, Theorem II.4.3].

In this paper all topological spaces are Hausdorff. If Y is a subspace of a topological space X and $A \subseteq Y$, then by $\mathrm{cl}_Y(A)$ and $\mathrm{int}_Y(A)$ we denote the topological closure and interior of A in Y, respectively.

A subset A of a topological space X is called *regular open* if $\mathrm{int}_X(\mathrm{cl}_X(A)) = A$.

We recall that a topological space X is said to be

- *semiregular* if X has a base consisting of regular open subsets;
- *compact* if each open cover of X has a finite subcover;
- *sequentially compact* if each sequence $\{x_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ of X has a convergent subsequence in X;
- ω-*bounded* if every countably infinite set in X has the compact closure [13];
- *totally countably compact* if every countably infinite set in X contains an infinite subset with the compact closure [12];
- *countably compact* if each open countable cover of X has a finite subcover;
- *countably compact at a subset* $A \subseteq X$ if every infinite subset $B \subseteq A$ has an accumulation point x in X;
- *countably pracompact* if there exists a dense subset A in X such that X is countably compact at A [2];
- *sequentially pseudocompact* if for each sequence $\{U_n \colon n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of non-empty open subsets of the space X there exist a point $x \in X$ and an infinite set $S \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that for each neighborhood U of the point x the set $\{n \in S \colon U_n \cap U = \varnothing\}$ is finite [21];
- H-*closed* if X is Hausdorff and X is a closed subspace of every Hausdorff space in which it is contained [1];
- *pseudocompact* if each locally finite open cover of X is finite.

According to Theorem 3.10.22 of [11], a Tychonoff topological space X is pseudocompact if and only if each continuous real-valued function on X is bounded. Also, a Hausdorff topological space X is pseudocompact if and only if every locally finite family of non-empty open subsets of X is finite. Every compact space and every sequentially compact space are countably compact, every countably compact space is countably pracompact, and every countably pracompact space is pseudocompact (see [2]). We observe that pseudocompact spaces in topological literature also are called *lightly compact* or *feebly compact* (see [3, 10, 27]).

We recall that the Stone-Čech compactification of a Tychonoff space X is a compact Hausdorff space βX containing X as a dense subspace so that each continuous map $f \colon X \to Y$ to a compact Hausdorff space Y extends to a continuous map $\overline{f} \colon \beta X \to Y$ [11].

A (*semi*)*topological semigroup* is a Hausdorff topological space with a (separately) continuous semigroup operation. A topological semigroup which is an inverse semigroup is called an *inverse topological semigroup*. A *topological inverse semigroup* is an inverse topological semigroup with continuous inversion. We observe that the inversion on a topological inverse semigroup is a homeomorphism (see [9, Proposition II.1]). A Hausdorff topology τ on a (inverse) semigroup S is called (*inverse*) *semigroup* if (S, τ) is a topological (inverse) semigroup. A *paratopological* (*semitopological*) *group* is a Hausdorff topological space with a jointly (separately) continuous group operation. A paratopological group with continuous inversion is a *topological group*.

Let $\mathfrak{STSG}_0$ be a class of semitopological semigroups.

Definition 1.1 ([14]). Let $\lambda \geqslant 1$ be a cardinal and $(S,\tau) \in \mathfrak{STSG}_0$ be a semitopological monoid with zero. Let τ_B be a topology on $B_\lambda(S)$ such that

a) $(B_\lambda(S),\tau_B) \in \mathfrak{STSG}_0$; and
b) for some $\alpha \in \lambda$ the topological subspace $(S_{\alpha,\alpha},\tau_B|_{S_{\alpha,\alpha}})$ is naturally homeomorphic to (S,τ).

Then $(B_\lambda(S),\tau_B)$ is called a *topological Brandt λ-extension of (S,τ) in $\mathfrak{STSG}_0$*.

Definition 1.2 ([15]). Let $\lambda \geqslant 1$ be a cardinal and $(S,\tau) \in \mathfrak{STSG}_0$. Let τ_B be a topology on $B^0_\lambda(S)$ such that

a) $(B^0_\lambda(S),\tau_B) \in \mathfrak{STSG}_0$;
b) the topological subspace $(S_{\alpha,\alpha},\tau_B|_{S_{\alpha,\alpha}})$ is naturally homeomorphic to (S,τ) for some $\alpha \in \lambda$.

Then $(B^0_\lambda(S),\tau_B)$ is called a *topological Brandt λ^0-extension of (S,τ) in $\mathfrak{STSG}_0$*.

Later, if $\mathfrak{STSG}_0$ coincides with the class of all semitopological semigroups we shall say that $(B^0_\lambda(S),\tau_B)$ (resp., $(B_\lambda(S),\tau_B)$) is called a *topological Brandt λ^0-extension* (resp., a *topological Brandt λ-extension*) of (S,τ).

Algebraic properties of Brandt λ^0-extensions of monoids with zero, non-trivial homomorphisms between them, and a category whose objects are ingredients of the construction of such extensions were described in [23]. Also, in [18] and [23] a category whose objects are ingredients in the constructions of finite (resp., compact, countably compact) topological Brandt λ^0-extensions of topological monoids with zeros were described.

Gutik and Repovš proved that any 0-simple countably compact topological inverse semigroup is topologically isomorphic to a topological Brandt λ-extension $B_\lambda(H)$ of a countably compact topological group H in the class of all topological inverse semigroups for some finite cardinal $\lambda \geqslant 1$ [22]. Also, every 0-simple pseudocompact topological inverse semigroup is topologically isomorphic to a topological Brandt λ-extension $B_\lambda(H)$ of a pseudocompact topological group H in the class of all topological inverse semigroups for some finite cardinal $\lambda \geqslant 1$ [19]. Next Gutik and Repovš showed in [22] that the Stone-Čech compactification $\beta(T)$ of a 0-simple countably compact topological inverse semigroup T has a natural structure of a 0-simple compact topological inverse semigroup. It was proved in [19] that the same is true for 0-simple pseudocompact topological inverse semigroups.

In the paper [4] the structure of compact and countably compact primitive topological inverse semigroups was described and was showed that any countably compact primitive topological inverse semigroup embeds into a compact primitive topological inverse semigroup.

Comfort and Ross in [8] proved that a Tychonoff product of an arbitrary non-empty family of pseudocompact topological groups is a pseudocompact topological group. Also, they proved there that the Stone-Čech compactification of a pseudocompact topological group has a natural structure of a compact topological group. Ravsky in [26] generalized Comfort–Ross Theorem and proved that a Tychonoff product of an arbitrary non-empty family of pseudocompact paratopological groups is pseudocompact.

In the paper [16] it is described the structure of pseudocompact primitive topological inverse semigroups and it is shown that the Tychonoff product of an arbitrary non-empty family of pseudocompact primitive topological inverse semigroups is pseudocompact. Also, there is proved that the Stone-Čech compactification of a pseudocompact primitive topological inverse semigroup has a natural structure of a compact primitive topological inverse semigroup.

In the paper [20] we studied the structure of inverse primitive pseudocompact semitopological and topological semigroups. We find conditions when a maximal subgroup of an inverse primitive pseudocompact semitopological semigroup S is a closed subset of S and described the topological structure of such semiregular semigroup. Also there we described structure of pseudocompact topological Brandt λ^0-extensions of topological semigroups and semiregular (quasi-regular) primitive inverse topological semigroups. In [20] we shown that the inversion in a quasi-regular primitive inverse pseudocompact topological semigroup is continuous. Also there, an analogue of Comfort–Ross Theorem is proved for

such semigroups: the Tychonoff product of an arbitrary non-empty family of primitive inverse semiregular pseudocompact semitopological semigroups with closed maximal subgroups is a pseudocompact space, and we described the structure of the Stone-Čech compactification of a Hausdorff primitive inverse countably compact semitopological semigroup S such that every maximal subgroup of S is a topological group.

In this paper we study the preserving of Tychonoff products of the pseudocompactness (resp., countable compactness, sequential compactness, ω-boundedness, totally countable compactness, countable pracompactness, sequential pseudocompactness) by pseudocompact (and countably compact) topological Brandt λ_i^0-extensions of semitopological semitopological monoids with zero. In particular we show that if $\left\{\left(B^0_{\lambda_i}(S_i), \tau^0_{B(S_i)}\right)\colon i \in \mathscr{I}\right\}$ is a family of Hausdorff pseudocompact topological Brandt λ_i^0-extension of pseudocompact semitopological monoids with zero such that the Tychonoff product $\prod\{S_i\colon i \in \mathscr{I}\}$ is a pseudocompact space, then the direct product $\prod\left\{\left(B^0_{\lambda_i}(S_i), \tau^0_{B(S_i)}\right)\colon i \in \mathscr{I}\right\}$ with the Tychonoff topology is a Hausdorff pseudocompact semitopological semigroup.

2. Tychonoff products of pseudocompact topological Brandt λ^0-extensions of semitopological semigroups

Later we need the following theorem from [17]:

Theorem 2.1 ([17, Theorem 12])**.** *For any Hausdorff countably compact semitopological monoid (S,τ) with zero and for any cardinal $\lambda \geqslant 1$ there exists a unique Hausdorff countably compact topological Brandt λ^0-extension $\left(B^0_\lambda(S), \tau^S_B\right)$ of (S,τ) in the class of semitopological semigroups, and the topology τ^S_B is generated by the base $\mathscr{B}_B = \bigcup\{\mathscr{B}_B(t)\colon t \in B^0_\lambda(S)\}$, where:*

(i) $\mathscr{B}_B(t) = \left\{(U(s)\setminus\{0_S\})_{\alpha,\beta}\colon U(s) \in \mathscr{B}_S(s)\right\}$, where $t = (\alpha, s, \beta)$ is a non-zero element of $B^0_\lambda(S)$, $\alpha, \beta \in \lambda$;

(ii) $\mathscr{B}_B(0) = \left\{U_A(0) = \bigcup_{(\alpha,\beta)\in(\lambda\times\lambda)\setminus A} S_{\alpha,\beta} \cup \bigcup_{(\gamma,\delta)\in A}(U(0_S))_{\gamma,\delta}\colon A$ is a finite subset of $\lambda\times\lambda$ and $U(0_S) \in \mathscr{B}_S(0_S)\right\}$, where 0 is the zero of $B^0_\lambda(S)$,

and $\mathscr{B}_S(s)$ is a base of the topology τ at the point $s \in S$.

Lemma 2.2. *For any Hausdorff sequentially compact semitopological monoid (S,τ) with zero and for any cardinal $\lambda \geqslant 1$ the Hausdorff countably compact topological Brandt λ^0-extension $\left(B^0_\lambda(S), \tau^S_B\right)$ of (S,τ) in the class of semitopological semigroups is a sequentially compact space.*

Proof. In the case when $\lambda < \omega$ the statement of the lemma follows from Theorems 3.10.32 and 3.10.34 from [11].

Next we suppose that $\lambda \geqslant \omega$. Let $\mathscr{A}(\lambda)$ be the one point Alexandroff compactification of the discrete space of cardinality λ. Then $\mathscr{A}(\lambda)$ is scattered because $\mathscr{A}(\lambda)$ has only one non-isolated point, and hence by Theorem 5.7 from [30] the space $\mathscr{A}(\lambda)$ is sequentially compact. Since cardinal λ is infinite without loss of generality we can assume that $\lambda = \lambda\cdot\lambda$ and hence we can identify the space $\mathscr{A}(\lambda)$ with $\mathscr{A}(\lambda\times\lambda)$. Then by Theorem 3.10.35 from [11] the space $\mathscr{A}(\lambda\times\lambda)\times S$ is sequentially compact. Later we assume that a is non-isolated point of the space $\mathscr{A}(\lambda\times\lambda)$. We define the map $g\colon \mathscr{A}(\lambda\times\lambda)\times S \to B^0_\lambda(S)$ by the formulae

$$g(a) = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad g((\alpha,\beta,s)) = \begin{cases} (\alpha,s,\beta), & \text{if } s \in S\setminus\{0_s\};\\ 0, & \text{if } s = 0_S. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.1 implies that so defined map g is continuous and hence by Theorem 3.10.32 of [11] we get that the topological Brandt λ^0-extension $\left(B^0_\lambda(S), \tau^S_B\right)$ of (S,τ) in the class of semitopological semigroups is a sequentially compact space. □

Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 3.10.35 from [11] imply the following theorem:

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\left\{B^0_{\lambda_i}(S_i)\colon i \in \omega\right\}$ be a countable family of Hausdorff countably compact topological Brandt λ_i^0-extension of sequentially compact Hausdorff semitopological monoids. Then the direct product*

$\prod\left\{B^0_{\lambda_i}(S_i)\colon i\in\omega\right\}$ *with the Tychonoff topology is a Hausdorff sequentially compact semitopological semigroup.*

Theorem 2.4. *Let* $\left\{B^0_{\lambda_i}(S_i)\colon i\in\mathscr{I}\right\}$ *be a non-empty family of Hausdorff countably compact topological Brandt* λ^0_i*-extension of countably compact Hausdorff semitopological monoids such that the Tychonoff product* $\prod\{S_i\colon i\in\mathscr{I}\}$ *is a countably compact space. Then the direct product* $\prod\left\{B^0_{\lambda_i}(S_i)\colon i\in\mathscr{I}\right\}$ *with the Tychonoff topology is a Hausdorff countably compact semitopological semigroup.*

Proof. For every infinite cardinal λ_i, $i\in\mathscr{I}$, we shall repeat the construction proposed in the proof of Lemma 2.2. Let $\mathscr{A}(\lambda_i)$ be the one point Alexandroff compactification of the discrete space of cardinality λ_i. Since cardinal λ_i is infinite without loss of generality we can assume that $\lambda_i=\lambda_i\cdot\lambda_i$ and hence we can identify the space $\mathscr{A}(\lambda_i)$ with $\mathscr{A}(\lambda_i\times\lambda_i)$. Later we assume that a_i is non-isolated point of the space $\mathscr{A}(\lambda_i\times\lambda_i)$. We define the map $g_i\colon\mathscr{A}(\lambda_i\times\lambda_i)\times S_i\to B^0_{\lambda_i}(S_i)$ by the formulae

$$g_i(a_i)=0_i \qquad \text{and} \qquad g_i((\alpha_i,\beta_i,s_i))=\begin{cases}(\alpha_i,s_i,\beta_i), & \text{if } s_i\in S\setminus\{0_{S_i}\};\\ 0_i, & \text{if } s=0_{S_i},\end{cases} \tag{1}$$

where 0_i and 0_{S_i} are zeros of the semigroup $B^0_{\lambda_i}(S_i)$ and the monoid S_i, respectively. Theorem 2.1 implies that so defined map g_i is continuous.

In the case when cardinal λ_i, $i\in\mathscr{I}$, is finite we put $\mathscr{A}(\lambda_i\times\lambda_i)$ is the discrete space of cardinality λ_i^2+1 with the fixed point $a_i\in\mathscr{A}(\lambda_i\times\lambda_i)$. Next we define the map $g_i\colon\mathscr{A}(\lambda_i\times\lambda_i)\times S_i\to B^0_{\lambda_i}(S_i)$ by the formulae (1), where 0_i and 0_{S_i} are zeros of the semigroup $B^0_{\lambda_i}(S_i)$ and the monoid S_i, respectively. It is obviously that such defined map g_i is continuous. Then the space $\prod_{i\in\mathscr{I}}\mathscr{A}(\lambda_i\times\lambda_i)\times S_i$ is homeomorphic to $\prod_{i\in\mathscr{I}}\mathscr{A}(\lambda_i\times\lambda_i)\times\prod_{i\in\mathscr{I}}S_i$ and hence by Theorem 3.2.4 and Corollary 3.10.14 from [11] the Tychonoff product $\prod_{i\in\mathscr{I}}\mathscr{A}(\lambda_i\times\lambda_i)\times S_i$ is countably compact. Later we define the map $g\colon\prod_{i\in\mathscr{I}}\mathscr{A}(\lambda_i\times\lambda_i)\times S_i\to\prod_{i\in\mathscr{I}}B^0_{\lambda_i}(S_i)$ by putting $g=\prod_{i\in\mathscr{I}}g_i$. Since for any $i\in\mathscr{I}$ the map $g_i\colon\mathscr{A}(\lambda_i\times\lambda_i)\times S_i\to B^0_{\lambda_i}(S_i)$ is continuous, Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.3.6 of [11] imply that g is continuous too. Therefore by Theorem 3.10.5 from [11] we obtain that the direct product $\prod\{B^0_{\lambda_i}(S_i)\colon i\in\mathscr{I}\}$ with the Tychonoff topology is a Hausdorff countably compact semitopological semigroup. □

Lemma 2.5. *For any Hausdorff totally countably compact semitopological monoid* (S,τ) *with zero and for any cardinal* $\lambda\geqslant 1$ *the Hausdorff countably compact topological Brandt* λ^0*-extension* $\left(B^0_\lambda(S),\tau^S_B\right)$ *of* (S,τ) *in the class of semitopological semigroups is a totally countably compact space.*

Proof. In the case when $\lambda<\omega$ the statement of the lemma is trivial. So we suppose that $\lambda\geqslant\omega$.

Let A be an arbitrary countably infinite subset of $\left(B^0_\lambda(S),\tau^S_B\right)$. Put $\mathscr{J}=\{(\alpha,\beta)\in\lambda\times\lambda\colon A\cap S_{\alpha,\beta}\neq\emptyset\}$. If the set $\mathscr{J}$ is finite then total countable compactness of the space (S,τ) and Lemma 2 of [17] imply the statement of the lemma. So we suppose that the set $\mathscr{J}$ is infinite. For each pair of indices $(\alpha,\beta)\in\mathscr{J}$ choose a point $a_{\alpha,\beta}\in A\cap S_{\alpha,\beta}$ and put $K=\{0\}\cup\{a_{\alpha,\beta}\colon(\alpha,\beta)\in\mathscr{J}\}$. Then the definition of the topology τ^S_B on $B^0_\lambda(S)$ implies that K is a compact subset of the $\left(B^0_\lambda(S),\tau^S_B\right)$ and $K\cap A$ is infinite. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Lemma 2.5 and Theorem 4.3 from [12] imply the following theorem:

Theorem 2.6. *Let* $\left\{B^0_{\lambda_i}(S_i)\colon i\in\omega\right\}$ *be a countable family of Hausdorff countably compact topological Brandt* λ^0_i*-extension of totally countably compact Hausdorff semitopological monoids. Then the direct product* $\prod\left\{B^0_{\lambda_i}(S_i)\colon i\in\omega\right\}$ *with the Tychonoff topology is a Hausdorff totally countably compact semitopological semigroup.*

Theorem 2.7. *Let* $\left\{B^0_{\lambda_i}(S_i)\colon i\in\mathscr{I}\right\}$ *be a non-empty family of Hausdorff countably compact topological Brandt* λ^0_i*-extension of Hausdorff totally countably compact semitopological monoids such that the Tychonoff product* $\prod\{S_i\colon i\in\mathscr{I}\}$ *is a totally countably compact space. Then the direct product* $\prod\left\{B^0_{\lambda_i}(S_i)\colon i\in\mathscr{I}\right\}$ *with the Tychonoff topology is a totally countably compact semitopological semigroup.*

Proof. Let for every $i \in \mathscr{I}$, $\mathscr{A}(\lambda_i \times \lambda_i)$ be a space and $g_i \colon \mathscr{A}(\lambda_i \times \lambda_i) \times S_i \to B^0_{\lambda_i}(S_i)$ be a map defined in the proof of Theorem 2.4. Also, Theorem 2.1 implies that the map g_i is continuous for every $i \in \mathscr{I}$. Since the space $\prod_{i\in\mathscr{I}} \mathscr{A}(\lambda_i \times \lambda_i) \times S_i$ is homeomorphic to $\prod_{i\in\mathscr{I}} \mathscr{A}(\lambda_i \times \lambda_i) \times \prod_{i\in\mathscr{I}} S_i$ and Theorem 4.3 from [12] we see that the Tychonoff product $\prod_{i\in\mathscr{I}} \mathscr{A}(\lambda_i \times \lambda_i) \times S_i$ is a totally countably compact space. Then by Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.3.6 of [11] the map $g \colon \prod_{i\in\mathscr{I}} \mathscr{A}(\lambda_i \times \lambda_i) \times S_i \to \prod_{i\in\mathscr{I}} B^0_{\lambda_i}(S_i)$ defined by the formula $g = \prod_{i\in\mathscr{I}} g_i$ is continuous. Simple verification imply that a continuous image of a totally countably compact space is a totally countably compact space too. Hence the direct product $\prod\{B^0_{\lambda_i}(S_i) \colon i \in \mathscr{I}\}$ with the Tychonoff topology is a totally countably compact semitopological semigroup. □

Similarly to the proof of Lemma 2.5 we can prove the following

Lemma 2.8. *For any Hausdorff ω-bounded semitopological monoid (S, τ) with zero and for any cardinal $\lambda \geqslant 1$ the Hausdorff countably compact topological Brandt λ^0-extension $\left(B^0_\lambda(S), \tau^S_B\right)$ of (S, τ) in the class of semitopological semigroups is an ω-bounded space.*

Since by Lemma 4 of [13] the Tychonoff product of an arbitrary non-empty family of ω-bounded spaces is an ω-bounded space, similarly to the proof of Theorem 2.7 we can prove the following

Theorem 2.9. *Let $\left\{B^0_{\lambda_i}(S_i) \colon i \in \mathscr{I}\right\}$ be a non-empty family of Hausdorff countably compact topological Brandt λ^0_i-extension of Hausdorff ω-bounded semitopological monoids. Then the direct product $\prod\left\{B^0_{\lambda_i}(S_i) \colon i \in \mathscr{I}\right\}$ with the Tychonoff topology is an ω-bounded semitopological semigroup.*

Theorems 2.1 and 2.9 imply the following:

Corollary 2.10. *Let $\left\{B^0_{\lambda_i}(S_i) \colon i \in \mathscr{I}\right\}$ be a non-empty family of Hausdorff totally countably compact topological Brandt λ^0_i-extension of Hausdorff ω-bounded semitopological monoids. Then the direct product $\prod\left\{B^0_{\lambda_i}(S_i) \colon i \in \mathscr{I}\right\}$ with the Tychonoff topology is an ω-bounded semitopological semigroup.*

Later we shall use the following theorem from [17]:

Theorem 2.11 ([17, Theorem 15])**.** *For any semiregular pseudocompact semitopological monoid (S, τ) with zero and for any cardinal $\lambda \geqslant 1$ there exists a unique semiregular pseudocompact topological Brandt λ^0-extension $\left(B^0_\lambda(S), \tau^S_B\right)$ of (S, τ) in the class of semitopological semigroups, and the topology τ^S_B is generated by the base $\mathscr{B}_B = \bigcup\{\mathscr{B}_B(t) \colon t \in B^0_\lambda(S)\}$, where:*

(i) $\mathscr{B}_B(t) = \left\{(U(s) \setminus \{0_S\})_{\alpha,\beta} \colon U(s) \in \mathscr{B}_S(s)\right\}$, where $t = (\alpha, s, \beta)$ is a non-zero element of $B^0_\lambda(S)$, $\alpha, \beta \in \lambda$;

(ii) $\mathscr{B}_B(0) = \left\{U_A(0) = \bigcup_{(\alpha,\beta)\in(\lambda\times\lambda)\setminus A} S_{\alpha,\beta} \cup \bigcup_{(\gamma,\delta)\in A}(U(0_S))_{\gamma,\delta} \colon A \text{ is a finite subset of } \lambda \times \lambda \text{ and } U(0_S) \in \mathscr{B}_S(0_S)\right\}$, where 0 is the zero of $B^0_\lambda(S)$,

and $\mathscr{B}_S(s)$ is a base of the topology τ at the point $s \in S$.

Theorem 2.12. *Let $\left\{B^0_{\lambda_i}(S_i) \colon i \in \mathscr{I}\right\}$ be a non-empty family of semiregular pseudocompact topological Brandt λ^0_i-extension of semiregular pseudocompact semitopological monoids such that the Tychonoff product $\prod\{S_i \colon i \in \mathscr{I}\}$ is a pseudocompact space. Then the direct product $\prod\left\{B^0_{\lambda_i}(S_i) \colon i \in \mathscr{I}\right\}$ with the Tychonoff topology is a semiregular pseudocompact semitopological semigroup.*

Proof. Since by Lemma 20 from [26] the Tychonoff product of regular open sets is regular open we obtain that Tychonoff product of semiregular topological spaces is semiregular.

Let for every $i \in \mathscr{I}$, $\mathscr{A}(\lambda_i \times \lambda_i)$ be a space and $g_i \colon \mathscr{A}(\lambda_i \times \lambda_i) \times S_i \to B^0_{\lambda_i}(S_i)$ be the map defined in the proof of Theorem 2.4. Theorem 2.11 implies that the map g_i is continuous for every $i \in \mathscr{I}$. Since the space $\prod_{i\in\mathscr{I}} \mathscr{A}(\lambda_i \times \lambda_i) \times S_i$ is homeomorphic to $\prod_{i\in\mathscr{I}} \mathscr{A}(\lambda_i \times \lambda_i) \times \prod_{i\in\mathscr{I}} S_i$, Theorem 3.2.4 from [11] and Corollary 3.3 [20] imply that the Tychonoff product $\prod_{i\in\mathscr{I}} \mathscr{A}(\lambda_i \times \lambda_i) \times S_i$ is a pseudocompact space. Then by Theorem 2.11 and Proposition 2.3.6 of [11] the map $g \colon \prod_{i\in\mathscr{I}} \mathscr{A}(\lambda_i \times \lambda_i) \times S_i \to \prod_{i\in\mathscr{I}} B^0_{\lambda_i}(S_i)$ defined by the formula $g = \prod_{i\in\mathscr{I}} g_i$ is continuous, and hence the direct product $\prod\left\{B^0_{\lambda_i}(S_i) \colon i \in \mathscr{I}\right\}$ with the Tychonoff topology is a semiregular pseudocompact semitopological semigroup. □

Proposition 2.13. *Let X, Y be Hausdorff countably pracompact spaces. Then the product $X \times Y$ is countably pracompact provided Y is a k-space or sequentially compact.*

Proof. Let the space X be countably compact at its dense subset D_X and the space Y be countably compact at its dense subset D_Y. The set $D_X \times D_Y$ is a dense subset of the space $X \times Y$. We claim that the space $X \times Y$ is countably compact at the set $D_X \times D_Y$. Indeed, let $A = \{(x_s, y_s)\colon s \in S\}$ be an infinite subset of the set $D_X \times D_Y$ such that $(x_s, y_s) \neq (x_{s'}, y_{s'})$ provided $s \neq s'$. Assume that the set A has no accumulation point in the space X.

If Y is a k-space then Lemma 3.10.12 from [11] implies that there exists an infinite subset $S_0 \subset S$ such that either the set $\{x_s\colon s \in S_0\}$ or the set $\{y_s\colon s \in S_0\}$ has no accumulation point. Then this set is finite. Without loss of generality, we can assume that there are a point $x \in X$ and an infinite subset S_1 of the set S_0 such that $x_s = x$ for each index $s \in S_1$. Since the space Y is countably compact at the set D_Y, there exists an accumulation point $y \in Y$ of the set $\{y_s\colon s \in S_1\}$. Then the point (x, y) is an accumulation point of the set $\{(x_s, y_s)\colon s \in S_1\}$, a contradiction.

If Y is a sequentially compact space then the proof of the claim is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.10.36 from [11]. □

Proposition 2.13 implies the following corollary:

Corollary 2.14. *The product $X \times Y$ of Hausdorff countably pracompact space X and compactum Y is countably pracompact.*

Theorem 2.15. *Let $\left\{B^0_{\lambda_i}(S_i)\colon i \in \mathscr{I}\right\}$ be a non-empty family of semiregular countably pracompact topological Brandt λ^0_i-extension of countably pracompact semiregular semitopological monoids such that the Tychonoff product $\prod\{S_i\colon i \in \mathscr{I}\}$ is a countably pracompact space. Then the direct product $\prod\left\{B^0_{\lambda_i}(S_i)\colon i \in \mathscr{I}\right\}$ with the Tychonoff topology is a semiregular countably pracompact semitopological semigroup.*

Proof. Let for every $i \in \mathscr{I}$, $\mathscr{A}(\lambda_i \times \lambda_i)$ be a space and $g_i\colon \mathscr{A}(\lambda_i \times \lambda_i) \times S_i \to B^0_{\lambda_i}(S_i)$ be a map defined in the proof of Theorem 2.4. Theorem 2.11 implies that the map g_i is continuous for every $i \in \mathscr{I}$. Since the space $\prod_{i\in\mathscr{I}} \mathscr{A}(\lambda_i \times \lambda_i) \times S_i$ is homeomorphic to $\prod_{i\in\mathscr{I}} \mathscr{A}(\lambda_i \times \lambda_i) \times \prod_{i\in\mathscr{I}} S_i$, Theorem 3.2.4 from [11] and Corollary 2.14 imply that the Tychonoff product $\prod_{i\in\mathscr{I}} \mathscr{A}(\lambda_i \times \lambda_i) \times S_i$ is a countably pracompact space. Then by Theorem 2.11 and Proposition 2.3.6 of [11] the map $g\colon \prod_{i\in\mathscr{I}} \mathscr{A}(\lambda_i \times \lambda_i) \times S_i \to \prod_{i\in\mathscr{I}} B^0_{\lambda_i}(S_i)$ defined by the formula $g = \prod_{i\in\mathscr{I}} g_i$ is continuous, and since by Lemma 8 from [17] every continuous image of a countably pracompact space is countably pracompact, we see that the direct product $\prod\{B^0_{\lambda_i}(S_i)\colon i \in \mathscr{I}\}$ with the Tychonoff topology is a semiregular pseudocompact semitopological semigroup. □

Since for any semitopological monoid (S, τ) with zero and for any finite cardinal $\lambda \geqslant 1$ there exists a unique topological Brandt λ^0-extension $(B^0_\lambda(S), \tau_B)$ of (S, τ) in the class of semitopological semigroups, the proof of the following theorem is similar to the proofs of Theorems 2.12 and 2.15.

Theorem 2.16. *Let $\left\{B^0_{\lambda_i}(S_i)\colon i \in \mathscr{I}\right\}$ be a non-empty family of Hausdorff pseudocompact (countably pracompact) topological Brandt λ^0_i-extension of Hausdorff pseudocompact (countably pracompact) semitopological monoids such that the Tychonoff product $\prod\{S_i\colon i \in \mathscr{I}\}$ is a Hausdorff pseudocompact (countably pracompact) space and every cardinal λ_i, $i \in \mathscr{I}$, is non-zero and finite. Then the direct product $\prod\left\{B^0_{\lambda_i}(S_i)\colon i \in \mathscr{I}\right\}$ with the Tychonoff topology is a Hausdorff pseudocompact (countably pracompact) semitopological semigroup.*

By Theorem 2.19 of [20] we have that a topological Brandt λ^0-extension $(B^0_\lambda(S), \tau_B)$ of a topological monoid (S, τ_S) with zero in the class of Hausdorff topological semigroups is pseudocompact if and only if cardinal λ is finite and the space (S, τ_S) is pseudocompact. Hence Theorem 2.16 and Theorem 2.19 of [20] imply the following:

Theorem 2.17. *Let $\left\{B^0_{\lambda_i}(S_i)\colon i \in \mathscr{I}\right\}$ be a non-empty family of Hausdorff pseudocompact (countably pracompact) topological Brandt λ^0_i-extension of Hausdorff pseudocompact (countably pracompact)*

topological monoids in the class of Hausdorff topological semigroups such that the Tychonoff product $\prod\{S_i\colon i\in\mathscr{I}\}$ *is a Hausdorff pseudocompact (countably pracompact) space. Then the direct product* $\prod\left\{B^0_{\lambda_i}(S_i)\colon i\in\mathscr{I}\right\}$ *with the Tychonoff topology is a Hausdorff pseudocompact (countably pracompact) topological semigroup.*

The following lemma describes the main property of a base of the topology at zero of a Hausdorff pseudocompact topological Brandt λ^0-extension of a Hausdorff pseudocompact semitopological monoid in the class of Hausdorff semitopological semigroups.

Lemma 2.18. *Let* $\left(B^0_\lambda(S),\tau^S_B\right)$ *be any Hausdorff pseudocompact topological Brandt* λ^0*-extension of a pseudocompact semitopological monoid* (S,τ) *with zero in the class of semitopological semigroups. Then for every open neighbourhood* $U(0)$ *of zero* 0 *in* $\left(B^0_\lambda(S),\tau^S_B\right)$ *there exist at most finitely many pairs of indices* $(\alpha_1,\beta_1),\ldots,(\alpha_n,\beta_n)\in\lambda\times\lambda$ *such that* $S^*_{\alpha_i,\beta_i}\nsubseteq \mathrm{cl}_{B^0_\lambda(S)}(U(0))$ *for any* $i=1,\ldots,n$.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary: there exist an open neighbourhood $V(0)$ of zero 0 in $\left(B^0_\lambda(S),\tau^S_B\right)$ and infinitely many pairs of indices $(\alpha_1,\beta_1),\ldots,(\alpha_n,\beta_n),\ldots\in\lambda\times\lambda$ such that $S^*_{\alpha_i,\beta_i}\nsubseteq \mathrm{cl}_{B^0_\lambda(S)}(U(0))$ for every positive integer i. Then by Proposition 1.1.1 of [11] for every positive integer i there exists a non-empty open subset W_{α_i,β_i} in $\left(B^0_\lambda(S),\tau^S_B\right)$ such that $W_{\alpha_i,\beta_i}\subseteq S^*_{\alpha_i,\beta_i}$ and $V(0)\cap W_{\alpha_i,\beta_i}=\varnothing$. Hence by Lemma 3 of [17] we have that $\{W_{\alpha_i,\beta_i}\colon i=1,2,3,\ldots\}$ is an infinite locally finite family in $\left(B^0_\lambda(S),\tau^S_B\right)$ which contradicts the pseudocompactness of the space $\left(B^0_\lambda(S),\tau^S_B\right)$. The obtained contradiction implies the statement of our lemma. □

Given a topological space (X,τ) Stone [28] and Katětov [24] consider the topology τ_r on X generated by the base consisting of all regular open sets of the space (X,τ). This topology is called the *regularization* of the topology τ. It is easy to see that if (X,τ) is a Hausdorff topological space then (X,τ_r) is a semiregular topological space.

Example 2.19. Let (S,τ) be any semitopological monoid with zero. Then for any infinite cardinal λ we define a topology τ^S_B on the Brandt λ^0-extension $\left(B^0_\lambda(S),\tau^S_B\right)$ of (S,τ) in the following way. The topology τ^S_B is generated by the base $\mathscr{B}_B=\bigcup\{\mathscr{B}_B(t)\colon t\in B^0_\lambda(S)\}$, where:

(*i*) $\mathscr{B}_B(t)=\left\{(U(s)\setminus\{0_S\})_{\alpha,\beta}\colon U(s)\in\mathscr{B}_S(s)\right\}$, where $t=(\alpha,s,\beta)$ is a non-zero element of $B^0_\lambda(S)$, $\alpha,\beta\in\lambda$;

(*ii*) $\mathscr{B}_B(0)=\left\{U_A(0)=\bigcup_{(\alpha,\beta)\in(\lambda\times\lambda)\setminus A}S_{\alpha,\beta}\cup\bigcup_{(\gamma,\delta)\in A}(U(0_S))_{\gamma,\delta}\colon A\text{ is a finite subset of }\lambda\times\lambda\text{ and }U(0_S)\in\mathscr{B}_S(0_S)\right\}$, where 0 is the zero of $B^0_\lambda(S)$,

and $\mathscr{B}_S(s)$ is a base of the topology τ at the point $s\in S$.

We observe that the space $\left(B^0_\lambda(S),\tau^S_B\right)$ is Hausdorff (resp., regular, Tychonoff, normal) if and only if the space (S,τ) is Hausdorff (resp., regular, Tychonoff, normal) (see: Propositions 21 and 22 in [17]).

Proposition 2.20. *Let* λ *be any infinite cardinal. If* (S,τ) *is a Hausdorff semitopological monoid with zero then* $\left(B^0_\lambda(S),\tau^S_B\right)$ *is a Hausdorff semitopological semigroup. Moreover, the space* (S,τ) *is pseudocompact if and only if so is* $\left(B^0_\lambda(S),\tau^S_B\right)$.

Proof. The Hausdorffness of the space $\left(B^0_\lambda(S),\tau^S_B\right)$ follows from Proposition 21 from [17].

Let a and b are arbitrary elements of S and $W(ab)$, $U(a)$, $V(b)$ be arbitrary open neighborhoods of the elements ab, a and b, respectively, such that $U(a)\cdot b\subseteq W(ab)$ and $a\cdot V(b)\subseteq W(ab)$. Then we have that the following conditions hold:

(*i*) $(U(a))_{\alpha,\beta}\cdot(\beta,b,\gamma)\subseteq(W(ab))_{\alpha,\gamma}$;

(*ii*) $(\alpha,a,\beta)\cdot(V(b))_{\beta,\gamma}\subseteq(W(ab))_{\alpha,\gamma}$;

(*iii*) if $\beta\neq\gamma$ then $(U(a))_{\alpha,\beta}\cdot(\gamma,b,\delta)=\{0\}\subseteq W_A(0)$ and $(\alpha,a,\beta)\cdot(V(b))_{\gamma,\delta}=\{0\}\subseteq W_A(0)$ for every finite subset A of $\lambda\times\lambda$ and every $W(0_S)\in\mathscr{B}_S(0_S)$;

(*iv*) $W_A(0)\cdot 0=\{0\}\subseteq W_A(0)$ and $0\cdot W_A(0)=\{0\}\subseteq W_A(0)$ for every finite subset A of $\lambda\times\lambda$ and every $W(0_S)\in\mathscr{B}_S(0_S)$;

(v) $(U(a))_{\alpha,\beta}\cdot 0=\{0\}\subseteq W_A(0)$ and $0\cdot(V(b))_{\beta,\gamma}=\{0\}\subseteq W_A(0)$ for every finite subset A of $\lambda\times\lambda$ and every $W(0_S)\in\mathscr{B}_S(0_S)$;
(vi) $(\alpha,a,\beta)\cdot V_B(0)\subseteq W_A(0)$ for every finite subset $\{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_k\}\subset\lambda$ and every $W(0_S)\in\mathscr{B}_S(0_S)$, where $A=\{\alpha,\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_k\}\times\{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_k\}$ and $B=\{(\beta,\alpha_1),\ldots,(\beta,\alpha_k)\}$;
(vii) $V_B(0)\cdot(\alpha,a,\beta)\subseteq W_A(0)$ for every finite subset $\{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_k\}\subset\lambda$ and every $W(0_S)\in\mathscr{B}_S(0_S)$, where $A=\{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_k\}\times\{\beta,\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_k\}$ and $B=\{(\alpha_1,\alpha),\ldots,(\alpha_k,\alpha)\}$,

for each $\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta\in\lambda$. This completes the proof of separate continuity of the semigroup operation in $\left(B^0_\lambda(S),\tau^S_B\right)$.

The implication ($\Leftarrow$) of the last statement follows from Lemma 9 of [17]. To show the converse implication assume that $\{U_i\colon i\in\mathscr{I}\}$ is any locally finite family of open subsets of $\left(B^0_\lambda(S),\tau^S_B\right)$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $0\notin U_i$ for any $i\in\mathscr{I}$. Then the definition of the base of the topology τ^S_B at zero implies that there exists a finite family of pairs of indices $\{(\alpha_1,\beta_1),\ldots,(\alpha_k,\beta_k)\}\subset\lambda\times\lambda$ such that almost all elements of the family $\{U_i\colon i\in\mathscr{I}\}$ are contained in the set $S^*_{\alpha_1,\beta_1}\cup\cdots\cup S^*_{\alpha_k,\beta_k}$. Since a union of a finite family of pseudocompact spaces is pseudocompact, $S_{\alpha_1,\beta_1}\cup\cdots\cup S_{\alpha_k,\beta_k}$ with the topology induced from $\left(B^0_\lambda(S),\tau^S_B\right)$ is pseudocompact space. This implies that the family $\{U_i\colon i\in\mathscr{I}\}$ is finite. □

Example 2.21. Let λ be any infinite cardinal. Let (S,τ_S) be a Hausdorff pseudocompact semitopological monoid with zero 0_S and $\left(B^0_\lambda(S),\tau^0_{B_S}\right)$ be a pseudocompact topological Brandt λ^0-extension of (S,τ_S) in the class of Hausdorff semitopological semigroups.

For every open neighbourhood $U(0)$ of zero in $\left(B^0_\lambda(S),\tau^0_{B_S}\right)$ we put

$$A_{U(0)}=\left\{(\alpha,\beta)\in\lambda\times\lambda\colon S_{\alpha,\beta}\not\subseteq \mathrm{cl}_{B^0_\lambda(S)}(U(0))\right\}.$$

Let $\pi_{B_S}\colon B_\lambda(S)\to B^0_\lambda(S)=B_\lambda(S)/\mathscr{J}$ be the natural homomorphisms, where

$$\mathscr{J}=\{0\}\cup\{(\alpha,0_S,\beta)\colon 0_S \text{ is zero of } S\}$$

is an ideal of the semigroup $B_\lambda(S)$.

We generate a topology $\widehat{\tau}_{B_S}$ on the Brandt λ-extension $B_\lambda(S)$ by a base $\widehat{\mathscr{B}}_B=\bigcup\left\{\widehat{\mathscr{B}}_B(t)\colon t\in B_\lambda(S)\right\}$, where:

(i) $\widehat{\mathscr{B}}_B(\alpha,s,\beta)=\left\{(U(s))_{\alpha,\beta}\colon U(s)\in\mathscr{B}_S(s)\right\}$, for all $s\in S$ and $\alpha,\beta\in\lambda$;
(ii) $\widehat{\mathscr{B}}_B(0)=\left\{U_\pi(0)=\pi^{-1}(U(0))\backslash\bigcup_{(\alpha,\beta)\in A_{U(0)}}S_{\alpha,\beta}\colon U(0)\right.$ is an element of a base of the topology $\tau^0_{B_S}$ at zero 0 of $\left.B^0_\lambda(S)\right\}$,

and $\mathscr{B}_S(s)$ is a base of the topology τ at the point $s\in S$.

Proposition 2.22. *Let λ be any infinite cardinal. Let $\left(B^0_\lambda(S),\tau^0_{B_S}\right)$ be a pseudocompact topological Brandt λ^0-extension of a Hausdorff pseudocompact semitopological monoid with zero (S,τ_S) in the class of Hausdorff semitopological semigroup. Then $(B_\lambda(S),\widehat{\tau}_{B_S})$ is a Hausdorff semitopological semigroup. Moreover, the space (S,τ) is pseudocompact if and only if so is $(B_\lambda(S),\widehat{\tau}_{B_S})$.*

Proof. We observe that simple verifications show that the natural homomorphism $\pi_{B_S}\colon(B_\lambda(S),\widehat{\tau}_{B_S})\to\left(B^0_\lambda(S),\tau^0_{B_S}\right)$ is a continuous map.

Let a and b be arbitrary elements of the semitopological semigroup (S,τ_S) and $W(ab)$, $U(a)$, $V(b)$ be arbitrary open neighborhoods of the elements ab, a and b, respectively, such that $U(a)\cdot b\subseteq W(ab)$ and $a\cdot V(b)\subseteq W(ab)$. Then the following conditions hold:

(i) $(U(a))_{\alpha,\beta}\cdot(\beta,b,\gamma)\subseteq(W(ab))_{\alpha,\gamma}$;
(ii) $(\alpha,a,\beta)\cdot(V(b))_{\beta,\gamma}\subseteq(W(ab))_{\alpha,\gamma}$;
(iii) if $\beta\neq\gamma$ then $(U(a))_{\alpha,\beta}\cdot(\gamma,b,\delta)=\{0\}\subseteq U_\pi(0)$ and $(\alpha,a,\beta)\cdot(V(b))_{\gamma,\delta}=\{0\}\subseteq U_\pi(0)$ for every open neighbourhood $U(0)$ of zero in $\left(B^0_\lambda(S),\tau^0_{B_S}\right)$;
(iv) $U_\pi(0)\cdot 0=\{0\}\subseteq U_\pi(0)$ and $0\cdot U_\pi(0)=\{0\}\subseteq U_\pi(0)$ for every open neighbourhood $U(0)$ of zero in $\left(B^0_\lambda(S),\tau^0_{B_S}\right)$;

(v) $(U(a))_{\alpha,\beta}\cdot 0=\{0\}\subseteq U_\pi(0)$ and $0\cdot(V(b))_{\beta,\gamma}=\{0\}\subseteq U_\pi(0)$ for every open neighbourhood $U(0)$ of zero in $\left(B^0_\lambda(S),\tau^0_{B_S}\right)$;

(vi) $(\alpha,a,\beta)\cdot U_\pi(0)\subseteq W_\pi(0)$ in $(B_\lambda(S),\widehat{\tau}_{B_S})$ for $U_\pi(0),W_\pi(0)\in\widehat{\mathscr{B}}_B(0)$¡ where $U(0)$ and $W(0)$ are elements of a base of the topology $\tau^0_{B_S}$ at zero 0 of $B^0_\lambda(S)$ such that $(\alpha,a,\beta)\cdot U(0)\subseteq W(0)$;

(vii) $U_\pi(0)\cdot(\alpha,a,\beta)\subseteq W_\pi(0)$ in $(B_\lambda(S),\widehat{\tau}_{B_S})$ for $U_\pi(0),W_\pi(0)\in\widehat{\mathscr{B}}_B(0)$¡ where $U(0)$ and $W(0)$ are elements of a base of the topology $\tau^0_{B_S}$ at zero 0 of $B^0_\lambda(S)$ such that $U(0)\cdot(\alpha,a,\beta)\subseteq W(0)$,

for each $\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta\in\lambda$.

The proof of the last statement is similar to the proof of the second statement of Proposition 2.20. □

Remark 2.23. Also, we may consider the semitopological semigroup $(B_\lambda(S),\widehat{\tau}_{B_S})$ as a topological Brandt λ^0-extension of a Hausdorff pseudocompact semitopological monoid $T=S\sqcup 0_T$ with "new" isolated zero 0_T.

Theorem 2.24. *Let $\left\{\left(B^0_{\lambda_i}(S_i),\tau^0_{B(S_i)}\right)\colon i\in\mathscr{I}\right\}$ be a non-empty family of Hausdorff pseudocompact topological Brandt λ^0_i-extension of Hausdorff pseudocompact semitopological monoids with zero such that the Tychonoff product $\prod\{S_i\colon i\in\mathscr{I}\}$ is a pseudocompact space. Then the direct product $\prod\left\{\left(B^0_{\lambda_i}(S_i),\tau^0_{B(S_i)}\right)\colon i\in\mathscr{I}\right\}$ with the Tychonoff topology is a Hausdorff pseudocompact semitopological semigroup.*

Proof. We consider two cases: 1) λ_i is finite cardinal, and 2) λ_i is infinite cardinal, $i\in\mathscr{I}$.

1) Let $i\in\mathscr{I}$ be an index such that λ_i is infinite cardinal. Then we put $\widehat{\tau}_{B(S_i)}$ is the topology on the Brandt λ_i-extension $B_{\lambda_i}(S_i)$ defined in Example 2.21. Then by Proposition 2.22, $\left(B_{\lambda_i}(S_i),\widehat{\tau}_{B(S_i)}\right)$ is a Hausdorff pseudocompact semitopological semigroup. By Remark 2.23 we have that the semitopological semigroup $\left(B_{\lambda_i}(S_i),\widehat{\tau}_{B(S_i)}\right)$ is a topological Brandt λ^0_i-extension of a Hausdorff pseudocompact semitopological monoid $T_i=S\sqcup 0_{T_i}$ with isolated zero 0_{T_i}. By τ_i we denote the topology of the space T_i. Let $\tau_B^{T_i}$ be the topology on the Brandt λ^0-extension $\left(B^0_{\lambda_i}(T_i),\tau_B^{T_i}\right)$ of (T_i,τ_i) defined in Example 2.19. Next we algebraically identify the semigroup $B^0_{\lambda_i}(T_i)$ with the Brandt λ_i-extension $B_{\lambda_i}(S_i)$ and the topology $\tau_B^{T_i}$ on $B_{\lambda_i}(S_i)$ we shall denote by $\tau_B^{S_i}$.

2) Let $i\in\mathscr{I}$ be an index such that λ_i is finite cardinal. We put $T_i=S\sqcup 0_{T_i}$ with isolated zero 0_{T_i}. It is obvious that the semitopological semigroup T_i is pseudocompact if and only if so is the space S_i. Then by Theorem 7 from [17] there exists the unique topological Brandt λ^0_i-extension $\left(B^0_{\lambda_i}(T_i),\widehat{\tau}_{B(T_i)}\right)$ of the semitopological monoid T_i in the class of semitopological semigroups. Also, Theorem 7 from [17] implies that the topological space $\left(B^0_{\lambda_i}(T_i),\widehat{\tau}_{B(T_i)}\right)$ is homeomorphic to the topological sum of topological copies of the space S_i and isolated zero, and hence we obtain that the space $\left(B^0_{\lambda_i}(T_i),\widehat{\tau}_{B(T_i)}\right)$ is pseudocompact if and only if so is the space S_i. Next we algebraically identify the semigroup $B^0_{\lambda_i}(T_i)$ with the Brandt λ_i-extension $B_{\lambda_i}(S_i)$ and the topology $\widehat{\tau}_{B(T_i)}$ on $B_{\lambda_i}(S_i)$ we shall denote by $\widehat{\tau}_{B(S_i)}$. Also in this case (when λ_i is a finite cardinal) we put $\tau_B^{S_i}=\widehat{\tau}_{B(S_i)}$.

Then the definitions of topologies $\widehat{\tau}_{B(S_i)}$ and $\tau_B^{S_i}$ on $B_{\lambda_i}(S_i)$ imply that for every index $i\in\mathscr{I}$ the identity map $\widehat{\mathrm{id}}_i\colon\left(B_{\lambda_i}(S_i),\widehat{\tau}_{B(S_i)}\right)\to\left(B_{\lambda_i}(S_i),\tau_B^{S_i}\right)$ is continuous. Let $\tau^R_{B(S_i)}$ be the regularization of the topology $\widehat{\tau}_{B(S_i)}$ on $B_{\lambda_i}(S_i)$. Then the definition of the topology $\tau_B^{S_i}$ on $B_{\lambda_i}(S_i)$ implies that the identity map $\mathrm{id}_i^R\colon\left(B_{\lambda_i}(S_i),\tau_B^{S_i}\right)\to\left(B_{\lambda_i}(S_i),\tau^R_{B(S_i)}\right)$ is continuous. Since the pseudocompactness is preserved by continuous maps we obtain that $\left(B_{\lambda_i}(S_i),\tau^R_{B(S_i)}\right)$ is a semiregular pseudocompact space (which is not necessarily a semitopological semigroup). Also, repeating the proof of Theorem 2.12 for our case, we get that the Tychonoff product $\prod_{i\in\mathscr{I}}\left(B_{\lambda_i}(S_i),\tau_B^{S_i}\right)$ is a pseudocompact space. Then the Cartesian products $\prod_{i\in\mathscr{I}}\widehat{\mathrm{id}}_i\colon\prod_{i\in\mathscr{I}}\left(B_{\lambda_i}(S_i),\widehat{\tau}_{B(S_i)}\right)\to\prod_{i\in\mathscr{I}}\left(B_{\lambda_i}(S_i),\tau_B^{S_i}\right)$ and $\prod_{i\in\mathscr{I}}\mathrm{id}_i^R\colon\prod_{i\in\mathscr{I}}\left(B_{\lambda_i}(S_i),\tau_B^{S_i}\right)\to\prod_{i\in\mathscr{I}}\left(B_{\lambda_i}(S_i),\tau^R_{B(S_i)}\right)$ are continuous maps. This implies that $\prod_{i\in\mathscr{I}}\left(B_{\lambda_i}(S_i),\tau^R_{B(S_i)}\right)$ is a pseudocompact space. Then by Lemma 20 of [26] the regularization of the product $\prod_{i\in\mathscr{I}}\left(B_{\lambda_i}(S_i),\widehat{\tau}_{B(S_i)}\right)$ coincides with $\prod_{i\in\mathscr{I}}\left(B_{\lambda_i}(S_i),\tau^R_{B(S_i)}\right)$ and hence by Lemma 3 of [26] we have that the space $\prod_{i\in\mathscr{I}}\left(B_{\lambda_i}(S_i),\widehat{\tau}_{B(S_i)}\right)$ is pseudocompact.

Let $\pi_{B^i_S}\colon B_{\lambda_i}(S_i) \to B^0_{\lambda_i}(S_i) = B_{\lambda_i}(S_i)/\mathscr{J}$ be the natural homomorphism, where $\mathscr{J} = \{0_i\} \cup \{(\alpha, 0_{S_i}, \beta)\colon 0_{S_i} \text{ is zero of } S_i\}$ is an ideal of the semigroup $B_{\lambda_i}(S_i)$. Then the natural homomorphism $\pi_{B^i_S}\colon \left(B_{\lambda_i}(S_i), \widehat{\tau}_{B(S_i)}\right) \to \left(B^0_{\lambda_i}(S_i), \tau^0_{B(S_i)}\right)$ is a continuous map. This implies that the product $\prod_{i\in\mathscr{I}} \pi_{B^i_S}\colon \prod_{i\in\mathscr{I}} \left(B_{\lambda_i}(S_i), \widehat{\tau}_{B(S_i)}\right) \to \prod_{i\in\mathscr{I}} \left(B^0_{\lambda_i}(S_i), \tau^0_{B(S_i)}\right)$ is a continuous map, and hence we get that the Tychonoff product $\prod_{i\in\mathscr{I}} \left(B^0_{\lambda_i}(S_i), \tau^0_{B(S_i)}\right)$ is a pseudocompact space. □

Proposition 2.25. *Each H-closed space is pseudocompact.*

Proof. Let X be an H-closed space. Assume that the space X is not pseudocompact. Then there exists an infinite locally finite family $\mathscr{U}$ of non-empty open subsets of the space X. Since the family $\mathscr{U}$ is locally finite, each point $x \in X$ has an open neighbourhood U_x intersecting only finitely many members of the family $\mathscr{U}$. Since the space X is H-closed and $\{U_x\colon x \in X\}$ is an open cover of the space X, by Exercise 3.12.5(4) from [11] (also see [1, Chapter III, Theorem 4]) there exists a finite subset F of the space X such that $X = \bigcup\{\mathrm{cl}_X(U_x)\colon x \in F\}$. But then the set X, as the union of the finite family $\{\mathrm{cl}_X(U_x)\colon x \in F\}$ intersects only finitely many members of the family $\mathscr{U}$, a contradiction. □

Let λ be any cardinal $\geqslant 1$ and S be any semigroup. We shall say that a subset $\Phi \subset B^0_\lambda(S)$ has the λ-*finite property* in $B^0_\lambda(S)$, if $\Phi \cap S^*_{\alpha,\beta}$ is finite for all $\alpha, \beta \in \lambda$ and $\Phi \not\ni 0$, where 0 is zero of $B^0_\lambda(S)$.

Example 2.26. Let λ be an infinite cardinal and $\mathbb{T}$ be the unit circle with the usual multiplication of complex numbers and the usual topology $\tau_{\mathbb{T}}$. It is obvious that $(\mathbb{T}, \tau_{\mathbb{T}})$ is a topological group. The base of the topology τ^{fin}_B on the Brandt semigroup $B_\lambda(\mathbb{T})$ we define as follows:

1) for every non-zero element (α, x, β) of the semigroup $B_\lambda(\mathbb{T})$ the family
$$\mathscr{B}_{(\alpha,x,\beta)} = \{(\alpha, U(x), \beta)\colon U(x) \in \mathscr{B}_{\mathbb{T}}(x)\},$$
where $\mathscr{B}_{\mathbb{T}}(x)$ is a base of the topology $\tau_{\mathbb{T}}$ at the point $x \in \mathbb{T}$, is the base of the topology τ^{fin}_B at $(\alpha, x, \beta) \in B_\lambda(\mathbb{T})$;
2) the family
$$\mathscr{B}_0 = \left\{U(\alpha_1, \beta_1; \ldots; \alpha_n, \beta_n; F)\colon \alpha_1, \beta_1, \ldots, \alpha_n, \beta_n \in \lambda, n \in \mathbb{N}, F \text{ has the } \lambda\text{-finite property in } B^0_\lambda(S)\right\},$$
where
$$U(\alpha_1, \beta_1; \ldots; \alpha_n, \beta_n; F) = B_\lambda(\mathbb{T}) \setminus \left(\mathbb{T}_{\alpha_1,\beta_1} \cup \cdots \cup \mathbb{T}_{\alpha_n,\beta_n} \cup F\right),$$
is the base of the topology τ^{fin}_B at zero $0 \in B_\lambda(\mathbb{T})$.

Simple verifications show that $(B_\lambda(\mathbb{T}), \tau^{\mathsf{fin}}_B)$ is a non-semiregular Hausdorff pseudocompact topological space for every infinite cardinal λ. Next we shall show that the semigroup operation on $(B_\lambda(\mathbb{T}), \tau^{\mathsf{fin}}_B)$ is separately continuous. The proof of the separate continuity of the semigroup operation in the cases $0 \cdot 0$ and $(\alpha, x, \beta) \cdot (\gamma, y, \delta)$, where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \lambda$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{T}$, is trivial, and hence we only consider the following cases:
$$(\alpha, x, \beta) \cdot 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad 0 \cdot (\alpha, x, \beta).$$
For arbitrary $\alpha, \beta \in \lambda$ and $\Phi \subset B_\lambda(\mathbb{T})$ we denote $\Phi^{\alpha,\beta} = \Phi \cap \mathbb{T}^{\alpha,\beta}$ and put $\Phi_{\mathbb{T}}(\alpha, \beta)$ is a subset of $\mathbb{T}$ such that $(\Phi_{\mathbb{T}}(\alpha, \beta))_{\alpha,\beta} = \Phi \cap \mathbb{T}_{\alpha,\beta}$.

Fix an arbitrary non-zero element $(\alpha, x, \beta) \in B_\lambda(\mathbb{T})$. Let $\Phi \subset B^0_\lambda(S)$ be an arbitrary subset with the λ-finite property in $B^0_\lambda(S)$. Since $\mathbb{T}$ is a group, there exist subsets $\Upsilon, \Psi \subset B^0_\lambda(S)$ with the λ-finite property in $B^0_\lambda(S)$ such that
$$\left(x \cdot \Upsilon_{\mathbb{T}}(\beta, \gamma)\right)_{\alpha,\gamma} = \Phi \cap \mathbb{T}_{\alpha,\gamma} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \left(\Psi_{\mathbb{T}}(\gamma, \alpha) \cdot x\right)_{\gamma,\beta} = \Phi \cap \mathbb{T}_{\gamma,\beta}$$
Then we have that
$$\begin{aligned}(\alpha, x, \beta) \cdot U(\beta, \beta_1; \ldots; \beta, \beta_n; \alpha_1, \beta_1; \ldots; \alpha_n, \beta_n; \Upsilon) \subseteq \\ \subseteq \{0\} \cup \bigcup \left\{\mathbb{T}_{\alpha,\gamma} \setminus \left((\alpha, x, \beta) \cdot \Upsilon^{\beta,\gamma}\right) : \gamma \in \lambda \setminus \{\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n\}\right\} \subseteq \\ \subseteq \{0\} \cup \bigcup \left\{\mathbb{T}_{\alpha,\gamma} \setminus \left(x \cdot \Upsilon_{\mathbb{T}}(\beta, \gamma)\right)_{\alpha,\gamma} : \gamma \in \lambda \setminus \{\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n\}\right\} \subseteq \\ \subseteq U(\alpha_1, \beta_1; \ldots; \alpha_n, \beta_n; \Phi)\end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
U(\alpha_1,\alpha;\ldots;\alpha_n,\alpha;\alpha_1,\beta_1;\ldots;\alpha_n,\beta_n;\Psi)\cdot(\alpha,x,\beta) \subseteq \\
\subseteq \{0\}\cup\bigcup\{\mathbb{T}_{\gamma,\beta}\setminus(\Psi^{\gamma,\alpha}\cdot(\alpha,x,\beta)) : \gamma\in\lambda\setminus\{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n\}\} \subseteq \\
\subseteq \{0\}\cup\bigcup\left\{\mathbb{T}_{\gamma,\beta}\setminus\left(\Psi_{\mathbb{T}}(\gamma,\alpha)\cdot x\right)_{\gamma,\beta} : \gamma\in\lambda\setminus\{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n\}\right\} \subseteq \\
\subseteq U(\alpha_1,\beta_1;\ldots;\alpha_n,\beta_n;\Phi),
\end{aligned}$$

for every $U(\alpha_1,\beta_1;\ldots;\alpha_n,\beta_n;\Phi)\in\mathscr{B}_0$. This completes the proof of separate continuity of the semigroup operation in $(B_\lambda(\mathbb{T}),\tau_B^{\mathsf{fin}})$.

Next we shall show that the space $(B_\lambda(\mathbb{T}),\tau_B^{\mathsf{fin}})$ is not countably pracompact. Suppose to the contrary: there exists a dense subset A in $(B_\lambda(\mathbb{T}),\tau_B^{\mathsf{fin}})$ such that $(B_\lambda(\mathbb{T}),\tau_B^{\mathsf{fin}})$ is countably compact at A. Then the definition of the topology τ_B^{fin} implies that $A\cap\mathbb{T}_{\alpha,\beta}$ is a dense subset in $\mathbb{T}_{\alpha,\beta}$ for all $\alpha,\beta\in\lambda$. We construct a subset $\Phi\subset B_\lambda(\mathbb{T})$ in the following way. For all $\alpha,\beta\in\lambda$ we fix an arbitrary point $(\alpha,x^A_{\alpha,\beta},\beta)\in A\cap\mathbb{T}_{\alpha,\beta}$ and put $\Phi=\left\{(\alpha,x^A_{\alpha,\beta},\beta)\colon\alpha,\beta\in\lambda\right\}$. Then Φ is the subset with the λ-finite property in $B_\lambda^0(S)$, and the definition of the topology τ_B^{fin} on $B_\lambda(\mathbb{T})$ implies that Φ has no an accumulation point x in $(B_\lambda(\mathbb{T}),\tau_B^{\mathsf{fin}})$, a contradiction.

Example 2.26 shows that there exists a Hausdorff non-semiregular pseudocompact topological Brandt λ^0-extension of a Hausdorff compact topological group with adjoined isolated zero which is not a countably pracompact space. Also, Example 18 from [17] shows that there exists a Hausdorff non-semiregular pseudocompact topological Brandt λ^0-extension of a countable Hausdorff compact topological monoid with adjoined isolated zero which is not a countably compact space. But, as a counterpart for the H-closed case or the sequentially pseudocompact case we have the following

Proposition 2.27. *Let S be semitopological monoid with zero which is an H-closed (resp., a sequentially pseudocompact) space. Then every Hausdorff pseudocompact topological Brandt λ^0-extension $B_\lambda^0(S)$ of S in the class of Hausdorff semitopological semigroup is an H-closed (resp., a sequentially pseudocompact) space.*

Proof. First we consider the case when S an H-closed space. Suppose to the contrary that there exists a Hausdorff pseudocompact topological Brandt λ^0-extension $(B_\lambda^0(S),\tau_B)$ of S in the class of Hausdorff semitopological semigroup such that $(B_\lambda^0(S),\tau_B)$ is not an H-closed space. Then there exists a Hausdorff topological space X which contains the topological $(B_\lambda^0(S),\tau_B)$ as a non-closed subspace. Without loss of generality we may assume that $(B_\lambda^0(S),\tau_B)$ is a dense subspace of X such that $X\setminus B_\lambda^0(S)\neq\varnothing$. Fix an arbitrary point $x\in X\setminus B_\lambda^0(S)$. Then we have that $U(x)\cap B_\lambda^0(S)\neq\varnothing$ for any open neighbourhood $U(x)$ of the point x in X. Now, the Hausdorffness of X implies that there exist open neighbourhoods $V(x)$ and $V(0)$ of x and zero 0 of the semigroup $B_\lambda^0(S)$ such that $V(x)\cap V(0)=\varnothing$. Then by Lemma 2.18 we obtain that there exist at most finitely many pairs of indices $(\alpha_1,\beta_1),\ldots,(\alpha_n,\beta_n)\in\lambda\times\lambda$ such that $S^*_{\alpha_i,\beta_i}\nsubseteq\mathrm{cl}_{B_\lambda^0(S)}(V(0))$ for any $i=1,\ldots,n$. Hence by Corollary 1.1.2 of [11], the neighbourhood $V(x)$ intersects at most finitely many subsets $S_{\alpha,\beta}$, $\alpha,\beta\in\lambda$. Then by Lemma 2 of [17] we get that $S_{\alpha,\beta}$ is a closed subset of X for all $\alpha,\beta\in\lambda$, and hence $B_\lambda^0(S)$ is a closed subspace of X, a contradiction.

Next we suppose that S is a sequentially pseudocompact space. Let $\{U_n\colon n\in\mathbb{N}\}$ be any sequence of non-empty open subsets of the space $B_\lambda^0(S)$. If there exists finitely many pairs of indices $(\alpha_1,\beta_1),\ldots,(\alpha_n,\beta_n)\in\lambda\times\lambda$ such that $\bigcup\{U_n\colon n\in\mathbb{N}\}\subseteq S_{\alpha_1,\beta_1}\cup\cdots\cup S_{\alpha_n,\beta_n}$ the sequential pseudocompactness of S and Lemma 2 from [17] imply that there exist a point $x\in S_{\alpha_1,\beta_1}\cup\cdots\cup S_{\alpha_n,\beta_n}$ and an infinite set $S\subset\mathbb{N}$ such that for each neighborhood $U(x)$ of the point x the set $\{n\in S\colon U_n\cap U(x)=\varnothing\}$ is finite. In the other case by Lemma 2.18 we get that there exists an infinite set $S\subset\mathbb{N}$ such that for each neighborhood $U(0)$ of zero 0 of the semigroup $B_\lambda^0(S)$ the set $\{n\in S\colon U_n\cap U(0)=\varnothing\}$ is finite. This completes the proof of our lemma. □

Since by Theorem 3 from [6] (see also Problem 3.12.5(d) in [11]) the Tychonoff product of the non-empty family non-empty H-topological spaces is H-closed, and by Proposition 2.2 from [21], the Tychonoff product of a non-empty family of non-empty sequentially pseudocompact spaces is sequentially pseudocompact Proposition 2.27 implies the following

Corollary 2.28. *Let $\left\{\left(B^0_{\lambda_i}(S_i), \tau^0_{B(S_i)}\right) : i \in \mathscr{I}\right\}$ be a non-empty family of Hausdorff pseudocompact topological Brandt λ^0_i-extension of Hausdorff H-closed (resp., a sequentially pseudocompact) semitopological monoids with zero. Then the direct product $\prod\left\{\left(B^0_{\lambda_i}(S_i), \tau^0_{B(S_i)}\right) : i \in \mathscr{I}\right\}$ with the Tychonoff topology is a Hausdorff H-closed (resp., a sequentially pseudocompact) semitopological semigroup.*

References

[1] P. Alexandroff and P. Urysohn, *Mémoire sur les espaces topologiques compacts*, Verh. Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Afd. Natuurk. Sect. 1, 14(1):1–96, 1929.
[2] A. V. Arkhangel'skii, *Topological Function Spaces*, Kluwer Publ., Dordrecht, 1992.
[3] R. W. Bagley E. H. Connelly, and J. D, McKnight, Jr. *On Properties Characterizing Pseudocompact Spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **9** (1958), 500–506.
[4] T. Berezovski, O. Gutik, and K. Pavlyk, *Brandt extensions and primitive topological inverse semigroups*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **2010** (2010) Article ID 671401, 13 pages, doi:10.1155/2010/671401.
[5] J. H. Carruth, J. A. Hildebrant, and R. J. Koch, *The Theory of Topological Semigroups*, Vol. I, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York and Basel, 1983; Vol. II, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York and Basel, 1986.
[6] C. Chevalley and O. Frink, Jr., *Bicompactness of Cartesian products*, Bull. Aner. Math. Soc. **47**:8 (1941), 612—614.
[7] A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups*, Vols. I and II, Amer. Math. Soc. Surveys **7**, Providence, R.I., 1961 and 1967.
[8] W. W. Comfort and K. A. Ross, *Pseudocompactness and uniform continuity in topological groups*, Pacif. J. Math. **16**:3 (1966), 483—496.
[9] C. Eberhart and J. Selden, *On the closure of the bicyclic semigroup*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **144** (1969), 115–126.
[10] M. Fernández and M. G. Tkachenko, *Subgroups of paratopological groups and feebly compact groups*, Appl. Gen. Topol. **15**:2 (2014), 235–248.
[11] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, 2nd ed., Heldermann, Berlin, 1989.
[12] Z. Frolik, *The topological product of two pseudocompact spaces*, Czech. Math. J. **10**:3 (1960), 339—349
[13] S. L. Gulden, W. M. Fleischman and J. H. Weston, *Linearly ordered topological spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **24**:1 (1970), 197—203.
[14] O. V. Gutik, *On Howie semigroup*, Mat. Metody Phis.-Mech. Polya. **42**:4 (1999), 127–132 (in Ukrainian).
[15] O. V. Gutik and K. P. Pavlyk, *On Brandt λ^0-extensions of semigroups with zero*, Mat. Metody Phis.-Mech. Polya. **49**:3 (2006), 26–40.
[16] O. V. Gutik and K. P. Pavlyk, *Pseudocompact primitive topological inverse semigroups*, Mat. Metody Phis.-Mech. Polya. **56**:2 (2013), 7–19; reprinted version in: J. Math. Sc. **203**:1 (2014), 1–15.
[17] O. Gutik and K. Pavlyk, *On pseudocompact topological Brandt λ^0-extensions of semitopological monoids*, Topological Algebra and its Applications **1** (2013), 60–79.
[18] O. Gutik, K. Pavlyk, and A. Reiter, *Topological semigroups of matrix units and countably compact Brandt λ^0-extensions*, Mat. Stud. 32:2 (2009), 115–131.
[19] O. V. Gutik, K. P. Pavlyk, and A. R. Reiter, *On topological Brandt semigroups*, Math. Methods and Phys.-Mech. Fields **54**:2 (2011), 7–16 (in Ukrainian); English Version in: J. Math. Sc. **184**:1 (2012), 1–11.
[20] O. Gutik and O. Ravsky, *On feebly compact inverse primitive (semi)topological semigroups*, Preprint (arXiv:1310.4313)
[21] O. Gutik and O. Ravsky, *On sequentially pseudocompact spaces*, Preprint.
[22] O. Gutik and D. Repovš, *On countably compact 0-simple topological inverse semigroups*, Semigroup Forum **75**:2 (2007), 464—469.
[23] O. Gutik and D. Repovš, *On Brandt λ^0-extensions of monoids with zero*, Semigroup Forum **80**:1 (2010), 8—32.
[24] M. Katětov. *On H-closed extensions of topological spaces*, Časopis Pěst. Mat. Fys. **72**:1 (1947), 17–32.
[25] M. Petrich, *Inverse Semigroups*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1984.
[26] A. Ravsky, *Pseudocompact paratopological groups*, Preprint (arXiv:1003.5343v5).
[27] M. Sanchis and M. Tkachenko *Feebly compact paratopological groups and real-valued functions*, Monatsh. Math. **168**:3 (2012), 579–597.
[28] M. H. Stone, *Applications of the theory of Boolean rings to general topology*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **41**:3 (1937), 375–481.
[29] W. Ruppert, *Compact Semitopological Semigroups: An Intrinsic Theory*, Lect. Notes Math., **1079**, Springer, Berlin, 1984.

[30] J. E. Vaughan, *Countably compact and sequentially compact spaces*, in K. Kunen, J. E. Vaughan (eds.), Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology, Elsevier, 1984, P. 569—602.

Faculty of Mathematics, National University of Lviv, Universytetska 1, Lviv, 79000, Ukraine
E-mail address: o_gutik@franko.lviv.ua, ovgutik@yahoo.com

Pidstrygach Institute for Applied Problems of Mechanics and Mathematics of NASU, Naukova 3b, Lviv, 79060, Ukraine
E-mail address: oravsky@mail.ru